

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Applicant: James I. Meisel, et al.
U.S.S.N.: 10/531,968
RESPONSE TO NOTICE
Page 8 of 8

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 20 2007

REMARKS

In the Notice to Non-Compliant Amendment, Applicants were advised that the amendments to the claims were non-compliant for the reasons articulated in the above-referenced Notice. In response to the Notice, the within Response includes a corrected section of the previously provided non-compliant amendment to the claims figures (*i.e.*, the entire Amendment to the Claims). Applicant respectfully submits that descriptive legends have been provided in the claims to satisfy the legend criterion of 37 C.F.R. §1.121 and a complete set of the claims in ascending numerical order also have been provided herein. Thus, entry of the within amendment is again respectfully requested. It is further noted that reference should be made to the previously submitted preliminary amendment for any other remarks regarding the claims not set forth herein.

During preparation of the within response Applicants notice a couple of typos in claims 8 and 16. As such, Applicants have further amended the claims (*i.e.*, claims 8 and 16) in the foregoing amendment to correct these typos.


It is respectfully submitted that the subject application is in a condition for allowance. Early and favorable action is requested.

Applicant believes that additional fees are not required for consideration of the within Response. However, if for any reason a fee is required, a fee paid is inadequate or credit is owed for any excess fee paid, the Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge Deposit Account No. 04-1105.

Respectfully submitted,
Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge, LLP

Date: February 19, 2007

By:


William J. Daley, Jr.
(Reg. No. 35,487)
P.O. Box 55874
Boston, MA 02205
(617) 439- 4444

Customer No. 21874
Hns2 592500